

PAB Site Visitor Training

Planning Accreditation Board

April 2, 2016

APA Conference – Phoenix, AZ

Cheryl K. Contant, Consultant to PAB

Former Vice President of Academic Affairs, Planning
Program Chair, Faculty Member for 29 years

Goals for Today

- Examine the role of Site Visitors
 - Reviewing information and making interpretations of standards
 - Behavior during visit
- Discuss outcomes assessment
 - Program improvement
 - Student learning outcomes
- Be prepared to judge outcomes assessments

Outline

- ▶ Part I: Accreditation Standards and Site Visits
 - ▶ Case studies
 - ▶ Interpret standards
 - ▶ Behaviors on site visits

Outline

- Part II: Outcomes Assessment
 - Program Improvement Plans
 - Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs)
 - Definitions
 - Assessments
- Questions and Answers
(throughout and end)

Role of Site Visitors 2016

- Eyes/Ears of PAB
 - Gather necessary written/oral/visual information
 - Understand the context of the Program being visited
 - Interpret the standards (“no one is perfect”)

Role of Site Visitors 2016

- Understand PAB Standards
 - Currently in revision - simplify
 - Greater clarity, less redundancy
 - Focus on outcomes, not just inputs
 - Accountability requirements (public information, etc.)

Case Studies #1 and #2

Fictional case studies to provide examples of:

- ▶ The interpretation process
- ▶ The PAB standards
- ▶ How to frame questions during the visit

Case Studies #1 and #2

Questions for each case:

- ▶ How would you interpret the standards?
- ▶ What key questions would you ask during the visit? Of whom?
- ▶ How would you “grade” the Program and why?

Case Studies #3, #4, and #5

Behaviors on site visits

- “Dissent among faculty”
- “Site visitor behaving badly”
- “It’s a small world”

Case Studies #3, #4, and #5

Questions for each case:

- ▶ What are the principles at play in this situation?
- ▶ How would you respond and why?

Break Time

Reconvene at 10:30, please

Outcomes Assessment in Accreditation

Two sets of assessments

- ▶ Program improving?
- ▶ Students learning what they will need for entry-level jobs and their careers?

Focus: What is the role of site visitors in this process of assessing outcomes?

Why assess program improvement and student learning outcomes?

- ▶ Monitor the progress of **programs** in meeting their goals (productivity, quality, contribution to profession and knowledge, service to community, etc.)
- ▶ Identify the **learning of students** (knowledge, skills, experience, predispositions/values, etc.)
- ▶ Make continuous **adjustments and improvements** to both

Site Visitor Roles and Responsibilities

- Understand/appreciate distinction between program improvement/strategic planning **and** student learning outcomes
- Evaluate efficacy of program goals and learning outcomes in the context of the program's mission

Site Visitor Roles and Responsibilities

- Examine ways to measure outcomes (improvement and learning)
- Review assessment plans for program goals and student learning outcomes (measures, data, analysis, feedback – what, how, when)
- Identify how the program has used OR intends to use results

Program Improvement

Mission → Plan → Progress

Program Strategic Plan (*Standards 1 and 7*)

- Mission
- Vision
- Goals
- Actions
- Measures and Benchmarks
- Progress

Program Improvement

Mission → Plan → Progress

Program Strategic Plan

- ▶ Program **mission**

- ▶ What the program's purpose and reason for being...
 - ▶ Concise
 - ▶ Outcome-oriented (action, targets, progress)
 - ▶ Inclusive

- ▶ Program's **vision**

Program Improvement

Mission → Plan → Progress

➤ Program **mission**

Typically related to teaching, research, service

- Teaching to achieve student learning and success (we'll come back to this)
- Research to inform practice or advance knowledge or understand planning issues better or help students learning research skills
- Service to contribute to communities or provide opportunities for students for community engagement

Program Improvement

Mission → Plan → Progress

- ▶ Given vision, mission, context, resources, distinctive characteristics
 - ▶ What are the program's future goals (strategic, necessary, and aspirational)
 - ▶ What are the plans to achieve them?
 - ▶ Outcomes/objectives
 - ▶ Performance indicators/measures
 - ▶ Benchmarks
 - ▶ Timeframe

Program Improvement

Mission → Plan → Progress

- ▶ What are the program's goals?
 - ▶ Example, Increase Student Diversity
 - ▶ **Current:** 5% of student headcount is U.S. Hispanic or non-white
 - ▶ **Aspirational:** 20% in 10 years
 - ▶ **Realistic:** 10% in 5 years (planning/action horizon)

Program Improvement

Mission → Plan → Progress

- What are measurable objectives for those goals?
 - Plan with logic:
 - Increase number of targeted applications from own institution (applicants → students)
 - Expand market area for recruitment (applicants → students)
 - Improve retention (factors affecting retention: Student preparation, financial considerations, fit, culture, etc.)

Program Improvement

Mission → Plan → Progress

- ▶ What are measurable objectives for those goals?
 - ▶ Objective 1: Double number of targeted applicants from own institution for Fall 2015 entering class
 - ▶ Objective 2: Establish working relationships in two new targeted recruitment areas by Spring, 2016
 - ▶ Objective 3: Improve year-to-year retention rates for targeted population by 5% by Fall 2017 census

Program Improvement

Mission → Plan → Progress

- ▶ What are the methods for evaluating **progress and** making **improvements to your plan**
- ▶ What evidence do you have to assess the achievement of those goals?
- ▶ What are your results?
- ▶ Interpret and act – Success? Progress? Revise? Rethink?

Program Improvement

Mission → Plan → Progress

- ▶ What is the **process** by which the strategic plan is revised, refreshed, and disseminated
 - ▶ New plan in response to poor performance
 - ▶ Revised plan due to changed circumstances (internal or external)
 - ▶ Define frequency, approach, responsibility

The Other Big Outcome Assessment

Student Learning Outcomes (*Standard 6B*)

- Central to our task as educators
- Changes way we think
 - Student learning is the **Focus**
 - Curriculum, faculty quality, student quality as **Means**

Student Learning Outcomes

- ▶ “More unusual” to define and measure learning
 - ▶ Measure graduation rates, employment rates
 - ▶ No defined approach to measure learning
 - ▶ There are “better ways” and “good practice”

Student Learning Outcomes

Practitioners: Think about some of your best entry-level planners

What attributes do you see?

Educators: Think about some of your best graduates from your program

What attributes do you see?

Student Learning Outcomes

Definition: Student learning outcomes clearly state the expected knowledge, skills, attitudes, competencies, and habits of mind that students are expected to acquire at an institution of higher education.

- National Institute for Learning Outcomes Assessment

Student Learning Outcomes

Definition: Student learning outcomes clearly state the expected **knowledge, skills, attitudes, competencies, and habits of mind** that students are expected to acquire at an institution of higher education.

- National Institute for Learning Outcomes Assessment

Student Learning Outcomes

For planning programs

- Knowledge
- Skills
- Values/Ethics
- Competencies or have experience doing

Student Learning Outcomes

What influences program-level outcomes?

- Program/University mission or vision
- What graduates are expected to do and where
- Current needs and future expectations for professional practice and competence
- PAB definitions in Standard 4

Student Learning Outcomes

How do programs plan to achieve those student learning outcomes?

- ▶ Course content and syllabi and assignments
- ▶ Faculty expertise and qualifications
- ▶ Student quality and ability
- ▶ Student activities during program
- ▶ Curriculum content and structure

All are “inputs” designed to achieve a set of student learning “outcomes”

Student Learning Outcomes

Programs need to demonstrate student learning outcomes were achieved

- ▶ Curriculum, courses, activities provide the **opportunity** to learn....
- ▶ But must **demonstrate** that **students** actually **learned**
- ▶ Musts –
 - ▶ Defined outcomes
 - ▶ Appropriate measures/schedule for data collection
 - ▶ Interpret results and action

Student Learning Outcomes

Measures

- ▶ Not hold to same standard as published research
- ▶ Never perfect, but provide evidence, indications, useful for improvement

Student Learning Outcomes

Measures

- Direct are best
 - Tests/Test items (correct items, scores and pass rates on licensure exams)
 - Rubrics applied to project or paper (portfolios of student work, capstone projects)
 - Field supervisor ratings and employer ratings (If ratings address knowledge, skills, and values)

Student Learning Outcomes

Measures

- Indirect are easier but flawed:
 - Course grades (do not distinguish which knowledge, skills, values areas achieved – courses address more than one learning outcome)
 - Surveys (if they measure opinions and satisfaction)
 - Student self-ratings (lack objectivity)
 - Alumni satisfaction with learning (satisfaction is subjective)
 - Honors, awards, scholarships (uncertainty in criteria applied)

Student Learning Outcomes

Measures

- ▶ Multiple measures provide corroborating evidence
 - ▶ Exam pass rate
 - ▶ Rubrics applied to thesis/portfolio
 - ▶ Employer surveys

Student Learning Outcomes

- Feels “new” for many in education
- Puts a different spin on teaching for many of us faculty members
- Can be difficult
- Very valuable to students (and therefore faculty who teach them)

Let's Practice

Example Learning Outcome:

Planning Process Methods (Criterion 4.A.2.e)

- ➡ Tools for stakeholder involvement, community engagement, and working with diverse communities

Let's Practice

Demonstrate learning in Criterion 4.A.2.e? **Internships**

- ▶ Demonstrated by Activity [length and type of experience, e.g., “six weeks of full-time equivalent work, in a planning or planning-related office, involved in professional (not clerical) activity”]
- ▶ Demonstrate by Learning:
 - ▶ Assisting with public meetings, etc.
 - ▶ Working with diverse communities
 - ▶ Professional attitudes (timeliness, completion) and ethics (confidentiality, conflict)

Let's Practice

Example Learning Outcome:

Planning Process Methods (Criterion 4.A.2.e)

▶ How to measure:

▶ Survey:

- ▶ Internship supervisors (not HR)
- ▶ Specific topics, student experience, and competency in that area

▶ Sample Questions:

- ▶ Did the student have an experience in conducting a stakeholder involvement program?
- ▶ Did the student meet, exceed, or not meet your expectations for an entry-level planner?

Let's Practice

Example Learning Outcome:

Planning Process Methods (Criterion 4.A.2.e)

- ▶ How to measure/analyze/improve:
 - ▶ Frequency of survey
 - ▶ Random sample annually OR complete sample less frequently (dependent on other learning outcomes that employer survey could accomplish)
 - ▶ Compile data
 - ▶ Review with faculty
 - ▶ Celebrate or revise

Student Learning Outcomes

Work of Site Visitors

- ▶ Are learning outcomes **defined**? Appropriate?
- ▶ How are outcomes **measured**? Appropriate?
- ▶ Is there an **assessment plan**?
 - ▶ Routine not episodic
 - ▶ Schedule – by outcome, measure, data collection
- ▶ Is there a feedback loop and **improvement** included? Celebrate? Revise? Rethink?

Review of What We've Covered

- ▶ Distinguish between:
 - ▶ Program Improvement Goals and Outcome Measures
 - ▶ Student Learning Outcomes and Measures
- ▶ Challenges in defining and measuring outcomes

Review of What We've Covered

- Need better efforts by programs in...
 - Specifying program goals/objectives and student learning outcomes
 - Establishing measures and data collection (approach, timetable, action)
- It's all about progress toward program improvement, student learning